

# 

**Citation:** Shiomi N, Furuta M, Sasaki Y, Matsui-Yuasa I, Kiriyama K, Fujita M, et al. (2024) Suppression of Ehrlich ascites tumor cell proliferation via G1 arrest induced by dietary nucleic acid-derived nucleosides. PLoS ONE 19(7): e0305775. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0305775

Editor: Yuqi Chen, University of Cambridge, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: February 3, 2024

Accepted: June 4, 2024

Published: July 18, 2024

**Copyright:** © 2024 Shiomi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** This work was supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI (Grant Number: 20K11626). KAKENHI aims to significantly develop all "academic research" from basic to applied (research based on the free thinking of researchers). Fordays Co., Ltd. provided the DNA, hydrolyzed DNA and RNA **RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Suppression of Ehrlich ascites tumor cell proliferation via G1 arrest induced by dietary nucleic acid-derived nucleosides

Nahoko Shiomi<sup>1©</sup>, Mamia Furuta<sup>1©</sup>, Yutaro Sasaki<sup>2</sup>, Isao Matsui-Yuasa<sup>1,2</sup>, Keisuke Kiriyama<sup>3,4</sup>, Mica Fujita<sup>3,4</sup>, Keita Sutoh<sub>©</sub><sup>3,4</sup>, Akiko Kojima-Yuasa<sub>©</sub><sup>1,2</sup>\*

1 Department of Food and Human Health Sciences, Graduate School of Human Life Science, Osaka City University, Osaka, Japan, 2 Department of Nutrition, Graduate School of Human Life and Ecology, Osaka Metropolitan University, Osaka, Japan, 3 Fordays Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan, 4 Fordays Nutritional Research Center, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Tokyo, Japan

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

\* kojima-yuasa@omu.ac.jp

# Abstract

The nucleic acids found in food play a crucial role in maintaining various bodily functions. This study investigated the potential anticancer effects of dietary nucleic acids, an area that is still not fully understood. By utilizing an in vivo mouse model and an in vitro cell model, we discovered an anti-proliferative impact of RNA in both systems. DNA exhibited anti-proliferative effects in the mouse model, while this phenomenon wasn't observed in the in vitro cell model using Ehrlich ascites tumor (EAT) cells. Conversely, DNA hydrolysate demonstrated distinct anti-proliferative effects in EAT cells, suggesting that nucleotides or nucleosides generated during nucleic acid digestion act as active constituents. Furthermore, we examined various nucleosides and two sodium-independent equilibrative nucleoside transporter inhibitors (ENTs), identifying guanosine and 2'-deoxyguanosine as pivotal in the anti-proliferative effect. We also found that the anti-proliferation activity with both nucleosides was suppressed by the treatment of dipyridamole, a non-selective inhibitor for ENT1 and ENT2, but not nitrobenzylthioinosine, a low inhibitor for ENT2. The uptake of these compounds into cells is likely facilitated by ENT2. These nucleotides impeded the progression of cancer cells from the G1 phase to the S phase in the cell cycle. Another significant finding is the increased expression of CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein (C/EBPß) induced by guanosine and 2'-deoxyguanosine. Furthermore, immunostaining revealed that C/EBPβ diffuses into the nucleus, indicating its presence. This suggests that guanosine or 2-deoxyguanosine induces G1 arrest in cancer cells via the activation of C/EBPB. Encouraged by these promising results, guanosine and 2'deoxyguanosine show potential applications in cancer prevention.

# Introduction

Dietary nucleic acids have roles in maintaining various physiological functions in cells and organs. Their involvement in sustaining immune responses in both animal and human

materials, a part of the grant, and support in the form of salary for K. K., K. S., and M. Fujita. Fordays Co., Ltd. did not have any additional role in the design, data collection and analysis of the study nor the decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript. The specific role of K. K., K. S., and M. Fujita. is articulated in the "Author Contributions" section.

**Competing interests:** A part of the research grant and DNA, hydrolyzed DNA and RNA materials were provided by Fordays Co., Ltd. We declare that these relationships do not affect the results and conclusions of this study. This does not alter on adherence to PLOS ONE politics on sharing data and materials. systems has long been recognized [1–3]. Deficiencies in dietary nucleic acids have notably been linked to reduced functionality of T-lymphocytes, an effect observed to be reversible upon adequate dietary RNA supplementation [4]. Furthermore, reports suggest that dietary RNA intake may alleviate adipose tissue inflammation and improve glucose tolerance in mice exposed to a high-fat diet [5]. Moreover, the ingestion of DNA sourced from salmon milt has shown promising effects in ameliorating alcohol-induced liver injury [6]. These findings collectively indicate the potential therapeutic effects and multifaceted benefits associated with dietary nucleic acids in immune modulation and metabolic health.

In recent years, there have been reports indicating the potential protective effects of guanosine, a nucleoside, against degenerative diseases and injuries. Numerous reports particularly focus on the modulatory role of guanosine within the central nervous system [7–9]. Lanznaster *et al.* have compiled a review discussing the therapeutic potential of guanosine in brain disorders in relation to these findings [10].

There haven't been many reports on the anticancer effects of nucleic acids or nucleosides. Gessi *et al.* reported that adenosine controls cancer progression through adenosine receptors [11]. Additionally, Garozzo *et al.* reported that guanine inhibits the growth of lymphoma and melanoma via G-protein-coupled receptors [12]. Furthermore, it has been reported that guanosine and its derivatives enhance the effects of chemotherapy drugs like acriflavin [13] and 5'-deoxy-5-fluorouridine [14]. However, the effects of nucleic acids or guanosine on cancer, the world's second leading cause of death, have yet to be clearly elucidated.

While guanosine has shown promising protective effects in various scenarios, the focus shifts in the present study to its impact on cancer-related processes. Recent investigations have highlighted the involvement of CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein (C/EBP $\beta$ ) in suppressing proliferation and tumorigenesis while promoting differentiation [15]. Additionally, Long *et al.* demonstrated a reduction in C/EBP $\beta$  levels within cervical cancer tissue. They found that the overexpression of the C/EBP $\beta$  gene effectively restrained proliferation, invasion, and migration [16]. In this study, we examined the impact of guanosine, 2'-deoxyguanosine, and adenosine on the expression of C/EBP $\beta$  in Ehrlich ascites tumor (EAT) cells.

This study investigated the anti-proliferation effect of nucleic acids using both an *in vivo* mouse model and an *in vitro* cell model. ICR mice with EAT cells were selected as a suitable tumor model [17, 18] to elucidate the anti-proliferation activity of nucleic acids. EAT cells are fast-growing tumors characterized by increased progressive ascites fluid formation. Additionally, the study examined the effective structure of nucleic acid for anti-proliferative activity and its interaction with cells in an *in vitro* cell culture system using EAT cells.

# Materials and methods

# Materials

DNA, hydrolyzed DNA and RNA materials were provided from Fordays Co. Ltd. A DNA-rich fraction (DNA) and a hydrolyzed DNA-rich fraction (DNA hydrolysate) were prepared from Salmon milt. To prepare the DNA hydrolysate, DNA was hydrolyzed using a nuclease. The DNA-rich fraction consisted of DNA sodium (approximately 80%DNA). An RNA-rich fraction (RNA) was prepared from torula yeast (approximately 70% RNA).

#### Animals

This study was conducted in compliance with the Guidelines for Proper Conduct of Animal Experiments of Science Council of Japan. The breeding and experiments involving mice were approved by the Ethics Committee of Laboratory Animals (Permission numbers: S0056 and

S0097) and conducted in accordance with the animal experimentation regulations of Osaka City University.

ICR male mice weighing 28 to 30 g (6 weeks old) were obtained from Japan SLC, in Shizuoka, Japan. The mice were housed in groups of 3 per cage and provided with solid feed (laboratory MR stock) and tap water ad libitum until the end of the experiment. The room was illuminated from 8 am to 8 pm, and the temperature was maintained at  $23 \pm 1$  °C. After an initial breeding period of 5 days, the mice's body weight was measured. Based on their weights, the mice were divided into the following three groups to ensure there was no bias in body weight, and the experiment commenced. The three groups were: a control group of mice administered intraperitoneally with 500  $\mu$ l of EAT cell suspension adjusted to 1.0 x 10<sup>5</sup> cells/ ml, a DNA group of mice administered orally with 200 µl of ultrapure water containing 0.05 mg DNA every 2 days before the administration of EAT cells, and an RNA group of mice administered orally with 200  $\mu$ l of ultrapure water containing 0.05 mg RNA every 2 days before the administration of EAT cells. The control group received only ultrapure water orally. Ten days after the experiment started, a suspension of EAT cells was intraperitoneally administered to the mice, with the samples continuing to be administered orally on a daily basis. Twentyfive days after the experiment commenced, the mice were dissected under deep isoflurane inhalation anesthesia to minimize pain, and the volume of ascites was measured. The experimental design was illustrated in Fig 1.



#### Groups

Control group: oral administration with 200 µl of ultrapure water

DNA group: oral administration with 200 µl of ultrapure water containing 0.05 mg DNA

3) RNA group: oral administration with 200 µl of ultrapure water containing 0.05 mg RNA

Fig 1. Experimental design.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0305775.g001

# Cell culture

EAT cells (JCRB9090) and 3T3-L1 cells (JCRB9014) were obtained from the Japanese Cancer Research Resource Bank (Tokyo, Japan), and cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 0.1% penicillin/streptomycin in a humidified 5%  $CO_2$  incubator at 37°C.

# Measurement of cell number and cell viability of EAT

EAT cells ( $1 \times 10^{6}$  cells/ml) were cultured for 24 h. Viable cells can excrete trypan blue from their cells, whereas dead cells cannot. By exploiting this difference, viable cells and dead cells can be distinguished. Cell viability and cell number were calculated by counting viable and dead cells using a Thoma hemacytometer.

# Measurement of cell viability of 3T3-L1

3T3-L1 cells (1 x  $10^6$  cells/ml) were cultured for 24 h. Cell viability was assessed using a neutral red assay. After removing the medium, the 3T3-L1 cells were cultured with a neutral red solution for 2 h, followed by rinsing with a 1% formaldehyde and 1% calcium chloride solution. Subsequently, neutral red was extracted from the cells by treating them with a 1% acetic acid and 50% ethanol solution for 30 min. The absorbance of the extracted solution was measured at 540 nm using a spectrophotometer.

#### Measurement of DNA synthesis

EAT cells (1 x 10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml) were cultured in medium containing bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical, Osaka, Japan) for 24 h and then fixed by adding 70% ethanol. After being denatured with 2N HCl for 30 min, the cells were incubated with 0.1 M tris-HCl (pH7.4) for 5 min and 0.1% Triton-X in PBS for 5 min. Subsequently the cells were then incubated with anti-BrdU antibody (DakoCytomation, Glostrup, Denmark), biotin-conjugated secondary antibody (DakoCytomation), and horseradish peroxidase-coupled streptavidin (DakoCytomation) for every 1 h. Finally, the cells were stained with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB) dye as a substrate and BrdU-positive cells were observed using a microscope.

# Cell cycle analysis

To determine the cell cycle phases of samples, Muse<sup>®</sup> Cell Cycle Kit (MCH100106, Luminex Corporation, Austin, USA) was used according to the manufacturer's protocol. EAT cells were collected by centrifugation at 300 x g for 5 min and washed once with PBS, then fixed with ice-cold 70% ethanol and incubated for at least 3 h at  $-20^{\circ}$ C. 200 µl of the ethanol-fixed cell suspension was washed with 250 µl of PBS and further centrifuged at 300 x g for 5 min. Cell pellets were suspended in 200 µl of Muse<sup>®</sup> Cell Cycle Reagent, incubated for 30 mim at room temperature in the dark. The cell cycle was analyzed using Muse<sup>®</sup> Cell Analyzer(Merck KGaA, Darmastadt, Germany).

#### Measurement of DNA content

The DNA contents of EAT cells were measured using the Burton procedure [19]. Briefly, cells were collected by centrifugation at 500 x g for 5 min and lysed with three cycles of freezing and thawing using 300  $\mu$ l of 0.4 N perchloric acid. Subsequently, the lysate was centrifuged at 17400 x g for 20 min. The precipitate was then hydrolyzed with 600  $\mu$ l of 0.4 N perchloric acid at 70°C, and centrifuged at 17400 x g for 15 min. The supernatant was utilized for DNA

analysis. For each sample (0.4 mL), 0.1 mL of distilled water and 1 mL of DNA reagent (a mixture composed of a solution containing 1.5 g diphenylamine in 100 mL acetic acid and 1.5 mL  $H_2SO_4$ , combined with a solution containing 22.6 µL acetaldehyde in 1 mL distilled water, at a ratio of 200:1) were incubated at 36°C for 16 h. Absorbance at 600 nm was measured using a spectrophotometer.

#### Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was conducted to analyze the mRNA expression levels in EAT cells. Total RNA was extracted from the cells using the High Pure RNA Isolation Kit (Roche) and its quality and quantity were assessed with the 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technology). For cDNA synthesis, the PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit (TaKaRa Bio Inc.) was used. qRT-PCR was performed on the StepOnePlus PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using the TB Green Premix Ex Taq II (TaKaRa Bio Inc.). The PCR program consisted of initial denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 5 sec and annealing/extension at 60°C for 30 sec. The mRNA expression levels were normalized to  $\beta$ -actin. Delta-delta CT analysis was carried out using StepOne software v2.2.2 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The primers used for C/EBP $\beta$  was F: 5'- AATCACTTAAA GATGTTCCTGCGG -3', R; 5'- ATGCTCGAAACGGAAAAGGTTC -3' and used for  $\beta$ -actin was F: 5'- GGAGATTACTGCCCTGGCTCCTA-3', R; 5'- GACTCATCGTACTCCTGCTGCTG -3'.

# Immunofluorescence microscopy

EAT cells were cultured for 16 h, and a portion of the cell suspension was applied onto a MAScoat glass slide. The moisture was then completely removed by air drying at cold temperature. Subsequently, the microscope slide was washed twice with 0.5 ml of PBS. The slide was then immersed in methanol (-20°C) for 5 min for fixation. After being washed with PBS, the slide was immersed in 200 µl of PBS containing two drops of 0.1 M Triton X-100 for 5 min. After another wash with PBS, the slide was immersed in two drops of Protein Block Serum-free solution (DakoCytomation) for 30 min. Following another wash with PBS, the slide was incubated with the primary antibody, C/EBPβ antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, USA), and left at 4°C overnight. After washing with PBS, the microscope slide was treated with Alexa Fluor <sup>TM</sup> 488 goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) for secondary antibody for 1 h. Following another wash with PBS, a cover glass was placed on the microscope slide with ProLong<sup>TM</sup> Gold antifade regent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the slide was dried in the shade. The microscope slides were observed using a fluorescence microscope (LS101, Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

# Statistical analysis

To compare multiple groups, we employed a one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey-Kramer test. The significant difference test was conducted at a significance level of 5%, 1% or 0.1%. In the *in vivo* animal experiments, the data were presented as mean  $\pm$  SE, while in the *in vitro* cell culture experiments, they were presented as mean  $\pm$  SD.

#### Results

#### Anti-tumor activity of nucleic acids in EAT cells-bearing mice

The antitumor activity of nucleic acids in mice bearing EAT cells was investigated. The changes in body weight during experiment were shown in Fig 2, while macroscopic figures and ascites volumes on the final day of experiment were presented in Fig 3. From 10 days after



Fig 2. Effect of DNA and RNA on body weight in EAT cell-bearing mice. Mice were orally administered DNA or RNA (0.05 mg/g body weight) every 2 days for 10 days and then intraperitoneally administered 500  $\mu$ l of EAT cell suspension adjusted to 1.0 x 10<sup>5</sup> cells/ml with PBS. After the administration of EAT cells, DNA or RNA was orally administered every day. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SE (n = 5–6).

intraperitoneal inoculation of EAT cells, the control group tended to gain more body weight than the groups administered with DNA or RNA. The macroscopic figures in Fig 3A suggested that the increase in the weight is likely due to increased volume of ascites. Furthermore, the volume of ascites in the groups administered with DNA or RNA was smaller than that in the control group (Fig 3B).

#### Anti-tumor activity of nucleic acids in culture of EAT cells

The antitumor activity of nucleic acids was examined in an EAT cell culture system to further investigate their mechanism of action, following the discovery of their anticancer properties in mice bearing EAT cells.

When EAT cells were cultured with RNA and DNA at concentrations up to 400  $\mu$ g/ml for 24 hours, the addition of RNA or DNA did not reduce cell viability. These results indicate that RNA or DNA was not cytotoxic against EAT cells at concentrations up to 400  $\mu$ g/ml. However, the viable number of EAT cells decreased in a dose-dependent manner with the addition of RNA, while the addition of DNA did not affect the cell count (Fig 4A–4D).

These results suggest that the decrease in viable number of EAT cells with the addition of RNA occurred not due to cell death but rather due to the cessation of cell proliferation.



Fig 3. Effect of DNA and RNA on the volume of ascites fluid in EAT cell-bearing mice. (A) shows the macroscopic figure. The colors attached to the mice were intended for distinguishing between mice within the housing cage, and not for distinguishing between groups. (B) presents the volume of ascites. The mice were orally administered 200  $\mu$ l of ultrapure water containing 0.05 mg DNA or RNA per gram of body weight as samples. Ten days after the experiment started, 500  $\mu$ l of EAT cell suspension adjusted to  $1.0 \times 10^5$  cells/ml with PBS was intraperitoneally administered to the mice, with the samples continuing to be administered orally on a daily basis. Twenty-five days after the start of the experiment, the mice were dissected under deep isoflurane inhalation anesthesia to minimize pain, and the volume of ascites was measured. The data are shown as mean ± SE (n = 5–6) and are considered significantly different relative to the control (\*\*p < 0.01 and \*p < 0.05).

#### https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0305775.g003

#### Effect of DNA hydrolysate on cell viability and cell number of EAT cells

In the animal experiments, the intake of DNA suppressed ascites cancer, but when DNA was added to the cultured EAT cells, no effects were observed. This suggested that for DNA to exhibit its effects, digestion might be necessary. Subsequently, we investigated the impact of hydrolyzed DNA on EAT cells. When EAT cells were cultured with 50–400  $\mu$ g/ml of DNA hydrolysate for 24 hours, the addition of DNA hydrolysate did not decrease their cell viabilities. However, the cell numbers were significantly reduced with the addition of DNA hydrolysate at 200 and 400  $\mu$ g/ml (Fig 5A and 5B).

To determine whether the reduction of EAT cell number by adding DNA hydrolysate and RNA was specifically effective on cancer cells, we investigated the effects of DNA hydrolysate and RNA addition on the cell proliferation of 3T3-L1, a type of normal cells. As a result, no significant impact on the cell count of 3T3-L1 cells was observed at concentrations of 400 and 800 µg/ml (Fig.6). This result suggests that the actions of DNA hydrolysate and RNA were specific to cancer cells.







Fig 5. Effect of DNA hydrolysate on the viability and number of EAT cells. EAT cells were cultured with various concentrations of DNA hydrolysate (0  $-400 \mu g/ml$ ) for 24 h. The viability (A) and number (B) of EAT cells were assessed using a trypan blue assay. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 5). The data were found to be significantly different from the control (\*\*p < 0.01 and \*p < 0.05).

# Effect of nucleoside transporter inhibitor on RNA- or DNA hydrolysatetreated EAT cells

The anti-proliferative effect of RNA- or DNA hydrolysate treatment suggests that oligonucleotides, nucleotides, or nucleosides were effective in inhibiting cell proliferation mediated by nucleic acids. Nucleosides play important roles in various cellular processes. Therefore, to confirm whether the effects of RNA or DNA hydrolysates were mediated by the nucleotides contained within them, we conducted verification using inhibitors of nucleoside transporters and examined the effect of dipyridamole, an inhibitor of nucleoside transporters, on the anti-proliferative activity of RNA- or DNA hydrolysates. The activities of RNA- or DNA hydrolysates were suppressed with the treatment of the nucleoside transporter inhibitor, indicating that nucleosides possess an anti-proliferative effect (Fig 7).

#### Effect of various nucleosides on cell viability and cell number of EAT cells

We conducted an investigation to determine which nucleosides exhibit anti-proliferative effects. EAT cells were cultured with different nucleosides, including adenosine, guanosine, uridine or cytidine, for 24 h. Among them, only guanosine significantly decreased the cell number of EAT cells (Fig 8A). Since we previously observed a decrease in cell numbers with the addition of DNA hydrolysate, we further examined the effect of 2'-deoxyguanosine on the



Fig 6. Effect of RNA and DNA hydrolysate on the cell number of 313-L1 cells. 313-L1 cells were cultured with 400 and 800 µg/ml of RNA or DNA hydrolysate for 24 h. The cell number was assessed using a neutral red assay. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 5).

cell number of EAT cells. Interestingly, the treatment of 2'-deoxyguanosine resulted in a decrease in cell number comparable to that observed in guanosine-treated EAT cells (Fig 8B).

# Effect of inhibitors of nucleoside transporter on the anti-proliferation activity of nucleic acids-treated EAT cells

Mammals have two types of nucleoside transporters: sodium-independent equilibrative nucleoside transporters (ENTs) and sodium-dependent concentrated nucleoside transporters (CNTs). Among the ENTs, there are four subtypes known as ENT1, ENT2, ENT3 and ENT4, which are present in various tissues and facilitate the transport of nucleosides across cell membrane. For this study, we used two inhibitors of nucleoside transporter: dipyridamole [20] and nitrobenzylthioinosine (NBMPR) [21]. Dipyridamole is a non-selective inhibitor for ENT1 and ENT2 while NBMPR has low sensitivity for ENT2 [22]. It is worth noting that both inhibitors have low sensitivity for CNTs. In our experiment, we investigated the effect of dipyridamole and NBMPR on anti-proliferation activity of guanosine and 2'-deoxyguanosine. Interestingly, we found that the treatment of dipyridamole suppressed the anti-proliferation activity with both nucleosides (Fig 9). On the other hand, NBMPR did not show any suppression of the anti-proliferation (Fig 10).

#### Effect of guanosine on DNA contents in EAT cells

To investigate the stage at which the proliferation of EAT cells is inhibited by guanosine or 2'deoxyguanosine, we quantified the DNA content of EAT cells at various incubation times. We examined the intracellular DNA contents in EAT cells cultured with or without 100  $\mu$ M



Fig 7. Effect of nucleoside transporter inhibitor on the cell number of RNA and DNA hydrolysate-treated EAT cells. EAT cells were cultured with 200  $\mu$ g/ml of DNA hydrolysate or RNA and with or without 10  $\mu$ M of dipyridamole, an inhibitor of nucleoside transporter, for 24 h. The cell number was assessed using a trypan blue assay. Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 5). The data were found to be significantly different from the control (\*\*p < 0.01).

guanosine for 4, 8, 12, or 24 h (Fig 11). No significant changes in intracellular DNA content were observed until 12 h of culture between control cells and guanosine-treated cells. However, at 24 h, the DNA content in the control cells significantly increased, while the guanosine-treated cells did not exhibit an increase in DNA content. These results indicate that guanosine treatment inhibited DNA synthesis in EAT cells.

#### Effect of guanosine on DNA synthesis in EAT cells

To further confirm the inhibition of DNA synthesis by guanosine, we employed the BrdU method. As shown in Fig 12, the addition of guanosine, 2'-deoxyguanosine, or DNA hydroly-sate significantly reduced the number of BrdU-positive cells, indicating a cell's arrest in the G1 phase.

Additionally, to clarify this result in more detail, the cell cycle progression was analyzed. As shown in Fig 13, the number of G0/G1 phase cells was significantly increased by incubating EAT cells with guanosine, 2'-deoxyguanosine, or DNA hydrolysate. These results suggested that guanosine, 2'-deoxyguanosine, or DNA hydrolysate was induced G1phase arrest of the cell cycle.

On the other hand, the suppression of BrdU-positive cells by guanosine was reversed upon the addition of dipyridamole, a nucleoside transporter inhibitor (Fig 14).





# Effect of guanosine on the expression of C/EBPß gene in EAT cells

C/EBP $\beta$  plays critical roles in the regulation of cellular proliferation and differentiation, apoptosis, metabolism, transformation, and inflammation [23, 24]. It has been documented that



Fig 9. Effect of nucleoside transporter inhibitor on the cell number of RNA and DNA hydrolysate-treated EAT cells. EAT cells were cultured with 100  $\mu$ M guanosine or 2'-deoxyguanosine, with or without 10  $\mu$ M of dipyridamole, an inhibitor of nucleoside transporter, for 24 h. The cell number was assessed using a trypan blue assay. Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 6). The data were found to be significantly different from the control (\*\*p < 0.01).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0305775.g009

C/EBP $\beta$  shares numerous biological properties with C/EBP $\alpha$ , including the inhibition of proliferation and tumorigenesis, as well as the promotion of differentiation. Additionally, Buck *et al.* reported that elevated C/EBP $\beta$  expression in HepG2 hepatoma cells led to cell cycle arrest in the G1 phase [25]. In this study, we investigated the impact of guanosine, 2'-deoxyguanosine, and adenosine on the gene expression of C/EBP $\beta$ . As depicted in Fig.15, the gene expression levels of C/EBP $\beta$  in guanosine or 2'-deoxyguanosine-treated EAT cells increased by 1.527 or 1.570-fold, respectively, compared with control cells. However, the gene expression level of C/EBP $\beta$  in adenosine-treated EAT cells showed only a 1.006 fold change. The results suggest that the expression of C/EBP $\beta$  in EAT cells is upregulated by guanosine or 2'-deoxyguanosine. Subsequently, the intracellular localization of C/EBP $\beta$  was examined through *in site* immunofluorescence 16 h after the addition of guanosine, 2'-deoxyguanosine or adenosine. In the guanosine or 2'-deoxyguanosine addition group, the binding of C/EBP $\beta$  to satellite DNA were observed. However, in the control and adenosine addition groups, a lower binding of C/EBP $\beta$ was noted (Fig 16).

# Discussion

This study was conducted to explore the impact of dietary nucleic acids and nucleosides on cancer cells, utilizing Ehrlich ascites tumor (EAT) cells recognized for their rapid growth. Our investigation led to the groundbreaking discovery that guanosine and 2'-deoxyguanosine, absorbed through nucleoside transporters, effectively hinder the proliferation of EAT cells by impeding the transition from the G1 phase to the S phase. Remarkably, this inhibition is



Fig 10. Effect of NBMPR, a nucleoside transporter inhibitor, on the cell number of RNA and DNA hydrolysate-treated EAT cells. EAT cells were cultured with 100  $\mu$ M guanosine with or without 0.5  $\mu$ M of NBMPR, an inhibitor of nucleoside transporter, for 24 h. The cell number was assessed using a trypan blue assay. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 5).

associated with an increase in the expression of C/EBPβ induced by guanosine and 2'-deoxyguanosine. Immunostaining techniques further revealed the diffusion of C/EBPβ into the nucleus, confirming its presence. Consequently, our findings suggest a novel mechanism wherein guanosine or 2-deoxyguanosine induces G1 arrest in cancer cells through the activation of C/EBPβ.

Dietary nucleic acids have been found to have various physiological effects in vivo, other than being recycled through the salvage pathway. Their effects have attracted attention, and the physiological effects of dietary nucleic acids reported so far include the maintenance of immune responses [1-3], suppression of inflammation in adipose tissue [4], improvement of glucose tolerance [4], and protection of liver function [5, 26]. In this study, we discovered that dietary nucleic acids and guanosine have an inhibitory effect on cancer cell proliferation. Interestingly, we observed that DNA exhibited anti-proliferative effects in the in vivo mouse model but not in the in vitro cell model. However, the anti-proliferative effect of DNA hydrolysate was evident in the *in vitro* cell model. These suggest that nucleotides and nucleosides produced through the digestion of nucleic acids act as active ingredients. Adenosine and guanosine have previously been identified as nucleosides with anticancer activity. Adenosine, when added externally to cells, induces apoptosis in various cancer cells through adenosine receptors (A1, A2a, A2b, or A3) and downstream signaling pathways [25-27]. Adenosine can also be taken up by nucleoside transporters and converted to AMP, leading to apoptosis through the activation of AMP-dependent protein kinase [28]. It is worth noting that adenosine-induced cytotoxicity occurs at relatively high concentrations, while lower concentrations of adenosine exhibit physiological effects without cytotoxicity [29]. As for guanosine, it has been reported to enhance the anticancer effects of chemotherapeutic agents such as acriflavine, 5'-



Fig 11. Effect of guanosine on DNA content of EAT cells. EAT cells were cultured with 100  $\mu$ M guanosine for 4–24 h. The DNA contents of EAT cells were measured using the Burton procedure. Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 6). The data were found to be significantly different from the control (\*\*\*p < 0.001).

deoxy5-fluorouridine, and temozolomide [30–33]. However, there have been no reports on the anticancer effects of guanosine alone. Our study reveals a novel finding that adenosine did not inhibit EAT cell proliferation, whereas guanosine alone suppressed the growth of cancer cells.

Excessive intake of purines such as guanine can lead to the overproduction of uric acid, resulting in hyperuricemia. However, recent research reports indicate that the increase in serum uric acid levels is primarily caused by the accumulation of visceral fat due to obesity [34] and the influence of alcohol intake [35], rather than the effects of purine intake. After being absorbed in the intestines, purines are metabolized into uric acid, with 400-600 mg/day excreted in the urine by the kidneys and 200-300 mg/day excreted in the intestines (extrarenal excretion). A decrease in uric acid excretion due to any abnormality in the body can lead to hyperuricemia. However, since 50–90% of purines ingested as part of the diet are excreted within 24 h in healthy individuals [36, 37] it is considered not to pose a health problem. Furthermore, reports indicate that strict purine intake restrictions result in only a slight decrease in serum uric acid levels [37]. Additionally, the influence on serum uric acid levels has been found to be significantly influenced by genetic factors. It has been revealed that 88.2% of patients who develop gout at the age of 20 or younger have mutations in the uric acid excretion transporter ABCG2 gene [38]. Moreover, a large-scale cohort meta-analysis study conducted in the United States in 2017 investigating the genetic polymorphisms related to healthy serum uric acid levels and dietary habits found that while the variation in serum uric acid levels due to dietary habits and dietary content was less than 1%, the serum uric acid levels of subjects



Fig 12. Effect of guanosine, 2-deoxyguanosine, or DNA hydrolysate on DNA synthesis of EAT cells. EAT cells were cultured with BrdU (100  $\mu$ M) and guanosine, 2-deoxyguanosine, or DNA hydrolysate for 24 h. DNA synthesis was assessed using the BrdU assay, and immunostaining images show BrdU-positive cells. The percentage of BrdU-positive cells was calculated by dividing the BrdU-positive cell number by the total cell number. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 5). The data were found to be significantly different from the control (\*\*p < 0.01).

with genetic mutations varied by 7.9%, strongly suggesting that genetic mutations are more strongly associated with increases in serum uric acid levels than dietary purine intake [39].

Nucleoside transporters play crucial roles in the physiological and pharmacological activities of nucleosides and their analogues. Mammals have five main nucleoside transporters, which can be classified into two classes: energy-requiring Na<sup>+</sup>-dependent concentrative nucleoside transporters (CNT) and Na<sup>+</sup>-independent equilibrative nucleoside transporters (ENTs) [40]. ENT1 and ENT2 are cell surface proteins involved in adenosine signaling, with ENT1 having higher substrate affinity. ENT3 acts as a lysosomal transporter, while ENT4 functions strongly under acidic conditions [41, 42]. In our study, we used 10  $\mu$ M dipyridamole and 0.5 µM NBMPR as inhibitors of nucleoside transporters. Previous research by Ward et al. [22] reported the IC50 values of dipyridamole and NBMPR for human ENT1 and ENT2. The IC50 values of dipyridamole for ENT1 and ENT2 were 5.0  $\pm$  0.9 nM and 356  $\pm$  13 nM, respectively. On the other hand, the IC50 values of NBMPR for ENT1 and ENT2 are  $0.4 \pm 0.1$  nM and  $2.8 \pm 0.3 \mu$ M, indicating that NBMPR strongly inhibits ENT1-mediated nucleoside transport but weakly inhibits ENT2. Our results demonstrated that dipyridamole, but not NBMPR, inhibited the anti-proliferation action of nucleosides. This suggests that ENT2, which is weakly inhibited by NBMPR, may be involved in the cancer cell growth inhibitory effects of guanosine and 2'-deoxyguanosine. Additionally, previous research by Yamamura et al. [43] has reported the involvement of ENTs in the transport of deoxynucleosides.

(A)



**(B)** 





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0305775.g013

We observed a significant increase in DNA content over time in control cells, whereas this increase was not evident in guanosine-treated cells. Additionally, treatment with guanosine, 2'-deoxyguanosine, or DNA hydrolysate resulted in a reduction in the number of BrdU-positive cells compared to control cells. These results suggest that guanosine or 2'-deoxyguanosine





hinders the transition of EAT cells from the G1 phase to the S phase, consequently inhibiting DNA synthesis and cell proliferation. Subsequently, we explored the impact of nucleosides on the gene expression of C/EBP<sub>β</sub> in EAT cells, as C/EBP<sub>β</sub> has been reported to play a crucial role in the proliferation, differentiation, cell cycle, and metabolism of various cells [23-25], particularly in cervical cancer. Recent findings by Long et al. indicated that C/EBPβ decreased cervical tissues, and overexpression of the C/EBPß gene in cervical cancer cells could inhibit proliferation, invasion, and migration [16]. Additionally, Buck et al. demonstrated that C/EBPB arrests the cell cycle at the G1/S checkpoint [25]. In our study, we discovered that guanosine and 2'deoxyguanosine, but not adenosine, increased the gene expression levels of C/EBPβ. Furthermore, we conducted an *in situ* immunofluorescence analysis to examine the intracellular localization of C/EBPβ after the addition of guanosine and 2'-deoxyguanosine, observing the diffusion and presence of C/EBPB in the nucleus. These findings suggest that guanosine and 2'-deoxyguanosine treatments may positively influence the gene expression of C/EBPB in EAT cells, potentially impacting cellular processes regulated by C/EBPB. However, further studies may be necessary to fully comprehend the underlying mechanisms and significance of these observations.



Fig 15. Effect of guanosine, 2'-deoxyguanosine or adenosine on the gene expression of C/EBP $\beta$ . mRNA expression was analyzed by qRT-PCR 24 h after incubation of guanosine-, 2'-deoxyguanosine- or adenosine-treated EAT cells. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).

#### Conclusions

Our study provides evidence that nucleoside transporters, particularly ENT2, may play a role in the anti-proliferative effects of guanosine and 2'-deoxyguanosine. These nucleosides suppress DNA synthesis and cell proliferation, possibly by interfering with the transition of cells from the G1 phase to the S phase via the activation of C/EBP $\beta$ . Our study suggests the involvement of these nucleosides in the regulation of gene expression within the nucleus. This finding is particularly intriguing and warrants further investigation. Our investigation also hints at the potential involvement of these nucleosides in the modulation of gene expression within the cellular nucleus. This discovery is particularly intriguing, prompting the need for further indepth exploration. Subsequent research endeavors should focus on elucidating the precise molecular mechanisms underpinning these effects, and concurrently, investigating the potential applications of guanosine and 2'-deoxyguanosine in the realm of cancer prevention.





Control





100 µM Guanosine





100 µM 2'-Deoxyguanosine





 $100 \ \mu M \ Adenosine$ 

Fig 16. The intracellular localization of C/EBP $\beta$  in guanosine-, 2'-deoxyguanosine- or adenosine-treated EAT cells. EAT cells were cultured for 16 h after the addition of these nucleosides, fixed and subjected to *in site* immunofluorescence analysis. The photo on the right enlarges the area enclosed by the frame on the left. Arrows indicated the binding of C/EBP $\beta$  to satellite DNA. Bar = 50 µm.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0305775.g016

# **Supporting information**

**S1 File.** (DOCX)

# **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Akiko Kojima-Yuasa.

Formal analysis: Nahoko Shiomi, Mamia Furuta, Yutaro Sasaki, Akiko Kojima-Yuasa.

Funding acquisition: Akiko Kojima-Yuasa.

- **Investigation:** Nahoko Shiomi, Mamia Furuta, Yutaro Sasaki, Isao Matsui-Yuasa, Akiko Kojima-Yuasa.
- Methodology: Nahoko Shiomi, Mamia Furuta, Yutaro Sasaki, Isao Matsui-Yuasa, Akiko Kojima-Yuasa.

Project administration: Akiko Kojima-Yuasa.

Resources: Keisuke Kiriyama, Mica Fujita, Keita Sutoh.

Visualization: Nahoko Shiomi, Mamia Furuta, Akiko Kojima-Yuasa.

Writing – original draft: Nahoko Shiomi, Mamia Furuta, Isao Matsui-Yuasa, Akiko Kojima-Yuasa.

# References

- Carver JD, Dietary nucleotides: Effects on the immune and gastrointestinal systems. Acta Paediatr. Suppl. 1999; 88: 83–88. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.1999.tb01306.x PMID: 10569229
- Jyonouchi H, Sun S, Abiru T, Winship T, Kuchan MJ, Dietary nucleotides modulate antigen-specific type 1 and type 2 T-cell responses in young c57bl/6 mice. Nutrition 2000; 16: 442–446. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/s0899-9007(00)00287-2 PMID: 10869901
- Sudo N, Aiba Y, Oyama N, Yu XN, Matsunaga M, et al, Dietary nucleic acid and intestinal microbiota synergistically promote a shift in the Th1/Th2 balance toward Th1-dominant immunity. Clin. Exp. Allergy 2004; 135: 132–135.
- Hirai A, Usami M, Haji S, Katahara H, Kotani G, et al., Changes of polymorphonuclear leukocyte (PMN) functions under dietary nucleic acid deficiency and effects of administration of nucleotides mixture solution in mice. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 1998; 431: 543–547.
- Sakai T, Taki T, Nakamoto A, Tazaki S, Arakawa M, et al., Dietary ribonucleic acid suppresses inflammation of adipose tissue and improves glucose intolerance that is Mediated by immune cells in C57BL/ 6 mice fed a high-fat diet. J. Nutr. Sci. Vitamminol. 2015; 61: 73–78. <u>https://doi.org/10.3177/jnsv.61.73</u> PMID: 25994141
- Kojima-Yuasa A, Goto M, Yoshikawa E, Morita Y, Sekiguchi H, et al., Protective effects of hydrolyzed nucleoproteins from salmon mill against ethanol-induced liver injury in rats. Mar. Drugs 2016; 232: https://doi.org/10.3390/md14120232
- Dal-Cim T, Molz S, Egea J, Parada E, Romero A, et al., Guanosine protects human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells against mitochondrial oxidative stress by inducing heme oxygenase-1 via PI3K/Akt/GSK-3 β pathway. Neurochem, Inter. 2012; 61: 397–404.
- Marques NF, Massari CM, Tasca CI, Guanosine protects striatal slices against 6-OHDA-induced oxidative damage, mitochondrial dysfunction, and ATP depletion. Neurotoxicity Res. 2019; 35: 475–483. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12640-018-9976-1 PMID: 30417317
- Zuccarini M, Pruccoli I, Balducci M, Giuliani P, Caciagli F, et al., Influence of Guanine-based purines on the oxidoreductive reactions involved in normal or altered brain functions. J. Clin. Med. 2023; 12: 1172. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12031172 PMID: 36769818
- Lanznaster D, Dal-Cim T, Piermartiri TC, Tasca CI, Guanosine: a neuromodulator with therapeutic potential in brain disorders. Aging Dis. 2016; 7: 657–679. https://doi.org/10.14336/AD.2016.0208 PMID: 27699087
- Gessi S, Mrrighi S, Sacchetto V, Simioni C, Borea PA, Adenosine receptors and cancer. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2011; 1808: 1400–1412. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2010.09.020 PMID: 20888788
- Garozzo R, Zuccarini M, Giuliani P, Di Liberto V, Mudo G, et al., Guanine inhibits the growth of human glioma and melanoma cell lines by interacting with GPR23. Front. Pharmacol 2022; <u>https://doi.org/10. 3389/fphar.970891</u>
- Lee PS, Shin DH, Lee KM, Song S, Yon HS, et al., Effects of guanosine on the pharmacokinetics of acriflavine and guanosine, potential antitumor agent. Arch. Pharma. Res. 2007; 30: 372–380.

- ligo M, Miwa M, Ichitsuka K, Nitta K, Potentiation of the chemotherapeutic action of 5'-deoxy 5-fluouridine in combination with guanosine and related compounds. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 1987; 19: 61–64.
- 15. Sebastian T, Malik R, Thomas S, Sage J, Johnson PF, C/EBPβ cooperates with RB; E2F to implement RasV12-induced cellular senescence. EMBO J. 2005; 24: 3301–3312.
- Long H, Li Y, Wang H, Guo B, Song S, et al., C/EBPβ expression decreases in cervical cancer and leads to tumorigenesis. BMC Cancer 2023; 23: 79.
- Oršolić N, Kunštić M, Kukolj M, Odeh D, Ančić D, Natural phenolic acid, product of the honey bee, for the control of oxidative stress, peritoneal angiogenesis, and tumor growth in mice. Molecules 2020; 25: 5583. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25235583 PMID: 33261130
- Ančić D, Oršolić N, Odeh D, Tomašević M., Pepić I, et al., Resveratrol and its nanocrystals: A promising approach for cancer therapy?. Toxicol. Appli. Pharmacol. 2022; 435: 115851. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. taap.2021.115851</u> PMID: 34971666
- Burton K, Determination of DNA Concentration with Diphenyl Amine. In: Grossman L, Meidave L, Eds., Methods in Enzymology, Academic Press, New York, 1968. pp. 163–166.
- Wang C, Lin W, Playa H, Sun S, Cameron K, et al., Dipyridamole analogs as pharmacological inhibitors of equilibrative nucleoside transporters; Identification of novel potent and selective equilibrative nucleoside transporter 4 (hENT4). Biochem. pharmacol. 2013; 86: 1531–1540.
- Griffith DA, Jarvis SM, Nucleoside and nucleobase transport systems of mammalian cells. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1996; 1286: 153–181. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-4157(96)00008-1 PMID: 8982282
- 22. Ward J, Sherali A, Mo Z, Tse CM. Kinetic and pharmacological properties of human equilibrative nucleoside transporters, ENT1 and ENT2 stably expressed in nucleoside transporter-dependent PK15 cells. ENT2 exhibits a low affinity for guanosine and cytidine, but a high affinity for inosine. J. Biol, Chem 2000; 275: 8375–8381.
- Sebastian T, Johnson PF, Stop and go: anti-proliferative and mitogenic functions of the transcription factor C/EBPbeta. Cell Cycle 2006; 5: 953–957. https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.5.9.2733 PMID: 16687924
- Aguilar-Morante D, Cortes-Canteli M, Sanz-Sancristobal M, Santos A, Perez-Castillo A, Decreased CCAAT/enhancer binding protein beta expression inhibits the growth of glioblastoma cells. Neurosci 2011; 176: 110–119.
- Buck M, Turler H, Chojkier M, LAP(NF-IL-6), a tissue-specific transcriptional activator, is an inhibitor of hepatoma cell proliferation. EMBO J. 1994; 13: 851–860. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1994</u>. tb06328.x PMID: 7906646
- Xue Y, Li X, Tian Y, Huang X, Zhang L, et al., Salmon sperm DNA prevents acute liver injury by regulating alcohol-induced steatosis and restores chronic hepatitis via alleviating inflammation and apoptosis. J. Food Biochem. 2022; 46: e14346.
- Sheth S, Britto R, Mukherjea D, Rybak LP, Ramkumar V, Adenosine receptors; expression, function and regulation. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2014; 15: 2024–2052. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms15022024 PMID: 24477263
- Yang D, Yaguchi T, Nakano T, Nishizuka T, Adenosine activates AMPK to Phosphorylase Bcl-XI, responsible for mitochondrial damage and DIABLO release in HuH-7 cells. Cell. Physiol. Biochem. 2011; 27: 71–78.
- Seetulsight-Goorah SP, Mechanisms of adenosine-induced cytotoxicity and their clinical and physiological implications. Mechanisms of adenosine-induced cytotoxicity and their clinical and physiological implications. BioFactors 2006; 27: 213–230.
- Kim SG, Kim CW, Ahn ET, Lee KY, Hong EK, et al, Enhanced anti-tumor effects of acriflavine in combination with guanosine in mice. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 1997; 49: 216–222.
- **31.** Lee PS, Shin DH, Lee KM, Song S, Yoo HS, et al., Effects of guanosine on the pharmacokinetics of acriflavine and guanosine, a potential antitumor agent. Arch. Pharm. Res. 2007; 30: 372–380.
- ligo M, Miwa M, Ishitsuka H, Nitta K, Potentiation of the chemotherapeutic action of 5'-fluorouridine in combination with guanosine and related compounds. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 1987; 19: 61–64.
- Oliveira KA, Dal-Cim TA, Lopes FG, Nedel CB, Guanosine promotes cytotoxicity via adenosine receptors and induces apoptosis in temozolomide-treated A172 glioma cells. Purinergic Signaling 2017; 13: 305–318. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11302-017-9562-7 PMID: 28536931
- Takahashi S, Yamamoto T, Tsutsumi Z, Moriwaki Y, Yamakita J, et al., Close correlation between visceral fat accumulation and uric acid metabolism in healthy men. Metabolism. 1997; 46:1162–1165. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0026-0495(97)90210-9 PMID: 9322800
- Faller J, Fox IH. Ethanol-induced hyperuricemia: evidence for increased urate production by activation of adenine nucleotide turnover. N Engl J Med. 1982; 307:1598–1602. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJM198212233072602 PMID: 7144847

- **36.** Benedict JD, Forsham PH, Stetten D Jr. The metabolism of uric acid in the normal and gouty human studied with the aid of isotopic uric acid. J Biol Chem. 1949; 181:183–193. PMID: 15390405
- Seegmiller JE, Grayzel AI, Laster L, Liddle L. Uric acid production in gout. J Clin Invest. 1961; 40:1304– 1314. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI104360 PMID: 13749616
- Matsuo H, Takada T, Ichida K, Nakamura T, Nakayama A, et al., Common defects of ABCG2, a highcapacity urate exporter, cause gout: a function-based genetic analysis in a Japanese population. Sci Transl Med. 2009; 1:5ra11.
- Major TJ, Topless RK, Dalbeth N, Merriman TR. Evaluation of the diet wide contribution to serum urate levels: meta-analysis of population based cohorts. BMJ. 2018; 363:k3951 <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj. k3951</u> PMID: 30305269
- Young JD, Yao SY, Baldwin JM, Cass CE, Baldwin SA, The human concentrative and equilibrative nucleoside transporter families, SLC28, SLC29. Mol Aspects Med. 2012; 34: 529–547.
- Baldwin SA, Yao SYM, Hyde RJ, Ng AMI, Foppolo S, et al., Functional characterization of novel human and mouse equilibrative nucleoside transporters (hENT3 and mENT3) located in intracellular membrans. J. Biol. Chem. 2005; 280: 15880–15887.
- Barnes K, Dobrzynski H, Foppolo S, Beal PK, Ismat F, et al., Distribution and functional characterization of equilibrative nucleoside transporter-4, a novel cardiac adenosine transporter activated at acidic pH. Circ. Res. 2006; 99: 510–519. <u>https://doi.org/10.1161/01.RES.0000238359.18495.42</u> PMID: 16873718
- 43. Yamamura T, Narumi K, Ohata T, Satoh H, Mori T, et al., Characterization of deoxyribonucleoside transport mediated by concentrative nucleoside transporters. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 2021; 558: 120–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2021.04.075 PMID: 33910126